capacity. In such cases, the proper defendant, if any, is the United States. <u>Gilbert v. DaGrossa</u>, 756 F.2d 1455, 1458 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1985) (a suit against IRS employees in their official capacity is essentially a suit aginst the United States). The only relief sought by Petitioner is to quash the summons issued by Revenue Agent Andrews in accordance with 26 U.S.C. §§ 7602 and 7603. Such claim against Revenue Agent Andrews is barred by sovereign immunity. <u>Gilbert</u>, 756 F.2d at 1459.

## 2. Suit Against the United States

The United States is immune from suit unless there is a valid waiver of sovereign immunity. <u>Valdez v. United States</u>, 56 F.3d 1177, 1179 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1995). The only statute providing the court with jurisdiction to quash an IRS summons is 26 U.S.C. § 7609(b). Section 7609(b), therefore, is the exclusive method by which a taxpayer can challenge a summons issued by the IRS. <u>Ponsford v. United States</u>, 771 F.2d 1305, 1309 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1985). The procedures for filing a petition to quash are set forth in § 7609(b) as follows:

(A) In general. – Notwithstanding any other law or rule of law, any person who is entitled to notice of a summons under subsection (a) shall have the right to begin a proceeding to quash such summons not later than the 20<sup>th</sup> day after the day such notice is given in the manner provided in subsection (a)(2). In any such proceeding, the Secretary may seek to compel compliance with the summons.

Pursuant to subsection (b)(2)(A), the petition to quash must be filed within 20 days after notice of the summons is given to the taxpayer. This 20-day period is jurisdictional, and the court must dismiss a petition not filed within that time period. Ponsford, 771 F.2d at 1309; Clay v. United States, 199 F.3d 876, 879 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1999); Faber v. United States, 921 F.2d 1118, 1119 (10<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1990). The 20-day time period under § 7609(b)(2)(A) begins to run on the date that notice of the summons is *mailed* to the taxpayer, not the date on which it is received. Berman v. United States, 264 F.3d 16, 18-19 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 2001); Clay, 199 F.3d at 878; Stringer v. United States, 776 F.2d 274, 275-76 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1985); 26 C.F.R. § 301.7609-4(b)(2) (requiring that proceeding to quash a summons be instituted "not later than the 20<sup>th</sup> day following the day the notice of the summons was served on or mailed to such person"). Section 7609(a)(2) provides that notice given to a taxpayer is "sufficient" if it "is mailed by certified or registered mail to the last known address of such person, or, in the absence of a last known address, is left with the person summoned." This

Casp 3:10-cv-02251-IEG-BGS Document 14 Filed 03/22/11 PageID.115 Page 3 of 3

U.S.C. § 7609(h), the petition to quash must be filed in the district where the summoned party "resides or is found," and (2) the petition to quash was not filed until after the response date for the summons. In addition, the government argues the Court lacks personal jurisdiction over the United States because the petitioner did not properly serve the petition. Because the Court concludes the petition was filed beyond the jurisdictional time period set forth in § 7609(b)(2)(A), it need not address these additional arguments.